To Mr. Tore B. Krudtaa

Dear Mr. Krudtaa,

Thank you for asking me to give my views by telephone to the Judge presiding over your case.

I regret to say that I shall not conform to your wishes. I am always wary of telephone conversations – particularly of those that are not recorded – as these can be distorted at times by the quality of the telephone line or they can be misheard or misquoted due to a person’s accent or indeed due to the hearing problems the people involved might have – as I, being hard of hearing, do – in the course of the conversation.

Also I have some health problems necessitating hospital and/or doctor visits and, therefore, cannot guarantee that I would be available on the 3rd or 4th December, the date, as reported by Mr. [redacted], when the Judge is likely to call me.

Consequently, please find below my statement on the matter of the interview of Dr. Alan Saborsky by Susan Modaress of www.persstv.ir entitled “**MUST WATCH** Israel Did 9-11 Jewish Ex-Marine SPEAKS OUT”.

To whom it may concern:

My name is Musa Moris Farhi. I am a Turkish Jew, born in 1935, who has been a British citizen since 1964. I am a writer – writing under the name Moris Farhi – who has published six novels and a collection of poetry, many of which have been translated into several languages. I am also a Fellow of both the Royal Society of Literature and the Royal Geographical Society, a Vice-President of International PEN since 2001 and a former Chair of the Writers in Prison Committee of that organization. I was also appointed, in 2001, an MBE (Member of the Order of the British Empire) in the Queen’s Birthday Honours List for “services to literature”.

I have viewed carefully Dr. Saborsky’s interview by Susan Modaress and – irrespective of the fact that the interview was transmitted under the auspices of the Iran-based www.persstv.ir might carry a suspicion of serving as an anti-Israeli propaganda – I can state that, in my view, the
contents of the interview are not anti-Semitic.

Dr. Saborsky, a veteran US Marine corps who served in Vietnam, is described by Ms Modaress as being Jewish, although he does not actually call himself a Jew but an American, unaffected by his ethnicity and ancestry, who is concerned primarily with what benefits the USA instead of what benefits Israel.

Dr Saborsky’s thesis in the interview suggests that there was a definite Israeli involvement in the events of 9/11 with the motivation of creating an anti-Islamic attitude in the American people in general and the Jewish Neo-conservatives in particular.

The main part of his allegation rests on the collapse of a third building in the World Trade Centre – in seven seconds – by what he maintains was a controlled demolition and suggests that the controlled demolition would have taken a large team several days and that this might have been done in collaboration with the World Trade Centre’s security organization which was, at the time, an Israeli company. (He also alleges that this Israeli company was also in charge of security at some airports.)

The other part of his allegation of Israeli complicity rests on the arrest of some Israelis in the city who, he assumes, were either involved in the plot or were there as observers or recorders of the event. He maintains that though these Israelis were arrested on the day of 9/11 itself, they were eventually released with little, if any, media coverage. He suggests that this anomaly was probably devised by the Neo-conservatives in the USA Administration, some of whom were Jewish and attributes the paucity of media coverage to the media being Zionist owned.

Whether there is any truth in these allegations – which, in the face of the available official evidence, may be construed as circumstantial or conjectural – is not the issue. No doubt, there are aspects of 9/11 which, possibly, for reasons best known to the USA Administration are still to be disclosed – and might not be – for some time.

The issue is whether Dr. Sabrosky’s interview constitutes anti-Semitism. Anti-Semitism, as we all know, can be defined in many distorted ways. But basically and at core, its dictionary definition is “discrimination against or prejudice or hostility toward Jews”.

Dr. Sabrosky, in so far as the interview is concerned, does not manifest this discrimination. The movement he blames and accuses is Jewish nationalism which he equates with Zionism. Indeed, toward the end of the interview he categorically states that Jewish nationalism, i.e. Zionism, has nothing to do with Judaism.

Despite the Israeli Government’s and Jewish nationalism’s claims that anything criticising Israel is and must be anti-Semitic, such a deviation is
dangerous not only for Jews and Israelis but also for other national and ethnic groups.

When concepts are manipulated to serve a political objective, they lose their original definitions. Consequently, we are in danger of being brainwashed. More importantly, today’s allegations, unless proven without a shadow of a doubt, might lead us to a tomorrow when they might be distorted and/or presented as facts and stand as Truths for political and/or for a plethora of other governance objectives.

MUSA MORIS FARHI, MBE, FRSL.

November 8, 2015